

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,476	12/17/2003	Marilyn S. Bullock	014033-000006	1475
24239 7590 12/13/2007 MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706			EXAMINER	
			MURDOUGH, JOSHUA A	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/707,476	BULLOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Joshua Murdough	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2004.	\				
	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	s application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10. The drawing(s) filed on 20 March 2004 is large, a. ⊠ accepted or b. □ objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H S C - 8 119/a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 O.S.C. 3 119(a)	-(u) Or (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/19/2004</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/707,476 Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Notes

1. The Examiner has referenced three press releases regarding the same product. These press releases (From Panda Security Internacional; dated Jan. 17, 2001; Nov. 15, 2001; and Nov. 26, 2002) all reference a single embodiment ("Panda ActiveScan" 4.0), but when cited, the Examiner has referred to them chronologically as "Release 1," "Release 2," and "Release 3." (MPEP 2131.01)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims recite a "computer program product" and do not positively recite that the instructions are stored on a tangible device nor are they used to cause a device to operate. Therefore, the Examiner has to interpret these claims as being to software, which is per se, non-statutory. Wording, such as, "A computer readable medium containing instructions that when executed cause a computer to…" would allow these claims to be interpreted as being statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number:

10/707,476

Art Unit: 3621

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panda ActiveScan in view of Dent (6,311,171).

3. As to claims 1, 13, and 22; Panda ActiveScan shows:

A method of disabling malicious code residing on a customer computer system in association with providing on-line financial services to a customer through a network, the method comprising:

presenting to the customer an option to perform a scan of the customer computer system for the malicious code (Release 3, Paragraph 7);

executing, at least in part by activation over the network (Release 3, Paragraph 5) and upon receiving from the customer a selection of the option to perform the scan, computer program instructions for performing the scan, the computer program instructions being directed to detection and disablement of the malicious code (Release 3, Paragraph 3);

- 4. Panda ActiveScan does not expressly show:
 - authenticating the customer for the on-line financial services;
 - providing the on-line financial services to the customer.
- 5. Dent shows authenticating a customer through use of public key/private key encryption in order to process an online financial transaction (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the invention Panda ActiveScan to reside on the website of a financial institution, because webmasters were invited

10/707,476 Art Unit: 3621

to include the functionality (Panda ActiveScan; Release 3, Paragraph 7) and security at a financial institution is a major concern.

- 6. As to claim 2, 14, and 23; Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the executing of the computer program instructions further comprises downloading the computer program instructions to the customer computer system (Release 1, Paragraphs 8-10).
- 7. As to claim 3, 15, and 24; Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the executing of the computer program instructions is accomplished at least in part through the use of an ActiveX control (Release 1, Paragraph 7).
- 8. As to claim 4, 16, and 25; Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the computer program instructions are operable to perform signature-based detection of the malicious code (Release 2, Paragraph 7).
- 9. As to claim 5, 17, and 26; Dent, in the combination above, shows:

 the computer program instructions are operable to perform integrity checking

 (Through keys, as mentioned; Figure 2).
- 10. As to claim 6, 18, and 27 Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the computer program instructions are operable to perform non-integrity-based

 unknown malicious code detection (Heuristic scan engine; Release 3, Paragraph

 2).
- 11. As to claim 7, 19, and 28; Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the computer program instructions are operable to perform signature-based detection of the malicious code (Release 2, Paragraph 7).

10/707,476

Art Unit: 3621

12. As to claim 8, 20, and 29; Dent, in the combination above, shows:

the computer program instructions are operable to perform integrity checking

(Through keys, as mentioned; Figure 2).

- 13. As to claim 9, 21, and 30; Panda ActiveScan further shows:

 the computer program instructions are operable to perform non-integrity-based

 unknown malicious code detection (Heuristic scan engine; Release 3, Paragraph

 2).
- 14. As to claim 10, Panda ActiveScan shows:

Apparatus for disabling malicious code residing on a customer computer system in association with providing on-line financial services to a customer through a network, the apparatus comprising:

means for executing, at least in part by activation over the network (Release 3, Paragraph 5), computer program instructions for performing a scan for the malicious code, the computer program instructions being directed to detection and disablement of the malicious code (Release 3, Paragraph 3);

- 15. Panda ActiveScan does not expressly show:
 - means for authenticating the customer for the on-line financial services; means for providing the on-line financial services to the customer.
- 16. Dent shows authenticating a customer through use of public key/private key encryption in order to process an online financial transaction (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the invention Panda ActiveScan to reside on the website of a financial institution, because webmasters were invited

10/707,476

Art Unit: 3621

to include the functionality (Panda ActiveScan; Release 3, Paragraph 7) and security at a financial institution is a major concern.

- 17. As to claim 11, Panda ActiveScan further shows:
 - the means for executing the computer program instructions further comprises means for downloading the computer program instructions to the customer computer system (Release 1, Paragraphs 8-10).
- 18. As to claim 12, Panda ActiveScan further shows:
 - the means for executing the computer program instructions further comprises an ActiveX control (Release 1, Paragraph 7).
- 19. While treated together and the method limitations directly addressed, it is recognized that claims 13-21 are to the software and 22-30 are to the system. The software instructions claimed would cause a computer to perform the noted method if they were caused to execute. Also, the system claimed would also need to be present to perform the associated method.
- 20. Applicant(s) are reminded that optional or conditional elements (e.g. claims 4-9 which recite "the computer program instructions are operable to perform...") do not narrow the claims because they can always be omitted. See e.g. MPEP §2106 II C.: "Language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. [Emphasis in original.]"; and In re Johnston, 435 F.3d 1381, 77 USPQ2d 1788, 1790 (Fed. Cir. 2006) ("As a matter of linguistic precision, optional elements do not narrow the claim because they can always be omitted.").

Application/Control Number:

10/707,476

Art Unit: 3621

21. If a positive recitation is desired and if Applicant(s)' original specification supports such an amendment, the Examiner respectfully suggests amending the claim to recite, *e.g.* "the computer program instructions perform..."

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 23. Hicks (7,302,706) provides more details about scanning for viruses across a network.
- 24. Sobel (2006/0130139) shows more of the possible security methods possible from the client side.
- 25. Alagna (2004/0098607) describes a method, system, and computer software for providing security for a financial transaction performed online.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Murdough whose telephone number is (571) 270-3270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/707,476

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua Murdough

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600